# Author’s Response to the Review Comments

***Journal* : Jurnal Elektronika dan Telekomunikasi**

***Title of Paper* : Deep CNNBased Detection for Tea Clone Identification**

We appreciate the time and efforts bythe editor and referees in reviewingthis manuscript. We have addressed all issues indicated in the review report, and believed that the revised version can meet the journal publication requirements. We have included the line numbers in the revised manuscript to help the reviewers identify our changes.

| **Comment** | **Response** | **Location of Response in Revised Manuscript** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EDITOR’S COMMENTS** |  |  |
| - | - | - |
|  |  |  |
| **REVIEWER A COMMENTS** |  |  |
| The authors have to adjust all figures appropriately and do not leave a blank area in a page. | The revision has been done. | Section:  The Proposed Methods  Page(s) 3 |
| Figure 1., and figure 4. are too small. The authors may resize these larger. | We have revised figure 1 and 4. | Section:  The Proposed Methods  Page(s) 2  Results And Discussion  Page(s) 4 |
| Figures inside table 1. are too small. You may make these larger. | We have revised the figure inside table 1. | Section: Table 1  Page(s) 3 |
| There are some grammatical error and typo. The authors have to proofread it; therefore, the readability of the manuscript increases significantly. | We have revised all grammar mistakes and typos. | Section:  All section |
|  |  |  |
| **REVIEWER C COMMENTS** |  |  |
| There are only a few writing errors. | We have revised some writing errors. | Section:  All section |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |