Degradation of Shielding Performance of Metallic Sheet due to Aperture Configuration and Dimension at 2.4 GHz
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Abstract

The increasing demand on wireless connectivity has opened new and modern communication systems. Many wireless systems, for example Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi), Bluetooth, ZigBee, share the unlicensed frequency region around 2.4 GHz. Due to intensive application of Wi-Fi systems, there are certain disturbance potentials observed. The Wi-Fi signals cause interference to ZigBee networks which are used for smart grid applications. In this work, the shielding effectiveness of a metallic enclosure with several apertures is studied. Based on analytical expression from the literature, the shielding effectiveness by varying the sheet thickness, number of apertures, and aperture patterns is calculated. Several measurements of Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) are carried out. The measurements are conducted on a shielded room to isolate the measurement from other unknown signal sources.

The calculation and measurement of shielding effectiveness confirmed that more apertures on a shielding sheet will reduce the Shielding Effectiveness (SE). SE for one aperture for the case sheet thickness 0.7 mm and diameter of 12 mm reduce from 46.28 dB to 14.24 dB for 6 apertures. Bigger aperture diameters will also degrade the SE from 46.28 dB to 5.27 dB for aperture diameter 24 mm. The same condition can be concluded for the thickness 1.4 mm for aperture diameter of 12 mm. However a slightly different measurement results are obtained for the thickness 1.4 mm and aperture diameter of 24 mm. The thickness plays a significant role to attenuate the wave, so that SE is bigger than the calculated one.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand on wireless connectivity has opened new and modern communication systems [1]. Due to the needs on higher capacity, several new frequency regions are used. However many wireless systems use the available frequency regions jointly. Some communications systems, such as Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi), Bluetooth, ZigBee, share the unlicensed frequency region around 2.4 GHz.

Due to intensive application of Wi-Fi systems, there are certain disturbance potentials observed. In [2], the interference of Wi-Fi signals to ZigBee for smart grid applications is discussed. An improved design guideline is developed to mitigate the Wi-Fi interference to enhance the performance of the smart grid system.

In wireless communication, the Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) is often used to indicating the amount of signal received from a wireless communication. Heurtefeux and Valois [3] described that the RSSI is an indicator of the signal strength level received by the antenna, the greater the value of RSSI, the greater the signal value received and the closer to the distance. Based on D. Micheli et al. [4], which concluded that experiments carried out in frequency interval of 700 MHz to 5 GHz, there are certain variation of RSSI due to different material samples, such as concrete, brick, concrete-marble, and indoor partitions of different thickness. The research indicates that variation of material and material thickness can change the RSSI. In addition, Pavlik, et al. [5] mentioned that there are effects of the brick wall at a frequency of 1.5-9 GHz, with a linear increase of the attenuation of the fields with the wall thickness [5]. But in his research, it was only done by the brick and concentrated on the effects of material. Robinson [7] and Wang [11] gave analytical expressions for calculation of the shielding effectiveness, which are the basics of our work.

In this work, we compare the calculated and measured shielding effectiveness of the Stainless Steel 304 to block the Wi-Fi signal (frequency 2.4 GHz) by varying the steel sheet thickness, and the number of the apertures as well as its diameter.
II. THEORY

A. Electromagnetic Shielding

Shielding effectiveness is defined as the ratio of the strength of the electromagnetic field in a shielded area to the outside area [6]. Shielding ability is described by electrical Shielding Effectiveness (SE) and Magnetic Shielding Effectiveness (SM) [6]. The electromagnetic interference is essentially a natural interference and an unexpected electromagnetic emission either by radiation or channelling. Metallic materials are the best materials for electromagnetic shielding; however they are expensive and heavy. Sometimes polymeric materials are used as a protective device for light, flexible, and inexpensive electronics, but shielding effectiveness of polymer material is zero [6].

For an infinite conducting sheet, illuminated by a plane wave, SE and SM are equal and depend only on the frequency and on the conductivity, permeability, and thickness of the sheet. But for a shielding box, the SE and SM values can be different, depending on the position of the shield. It can be further explained that the penetration of the wave will be focused through the existing aperture or gap of the existing aperture, not through the enclosure wall [7].

Shielding effectiveness is the ratio of the incident field and the transmitted field [8]. For the sake of measurements, the shielding effectiveness of a material is defined as the ratio of field strength by absence and by presence of the material measured behind the material [9]. Shielding effectiveness (SE) is influenced by the number and dimension of the apertures, through them the electromagnetic waves penetrate.

B. Measurement of Electromagnetic Shielding Effectiveness

Several measurements methods are proposed in [10] which are open field or free space method, shielded box method, and shielded room method, which are described as follows.

1) Open Field or Free Space Method

This method can be applied to evaluate shielding effectiveness in ready-made electronic circuits. This method is used to measure radiation emissions coming out from finished products. The measurement with this method does not measure the performance of specific materials. The test method is depicted in Figure 1 [8].

2) Shielded Box Method

This method is used to measure and compare specimens of different materials characteristic. The method consists of a metal box with a port to place the sample to be measured and dilated to the receiving antenna. The transmitter antenna is placed out of the box, and the intensity of the received signal inside the box is recorded and compared if the port is sampled and there is no illustrative sample of this method as shown in Figure 2 [8].

3) Shielded Room Method

The principle of the shielded room method, as given in Figure 3, is almost the same as the closed box method, but the measurement component, the generator, the transmitting antenna receiver antenna is isolated and split in different spaces. This method was created to minimize external interference [8].

C. Shielding Effectiveness Calculation

For calculating the shielding effectiveness of an enclosure box with an aperture, a metallic box with a dimension of a x b x d (Figure 4a) is used. A probe for measuring penetrating waves will be located at a distance of d behind the aperture. The dimension and position of the aperture is shown in Figure 4b).

For evaluating the penetrating waves, an equivalent circuit is modelled in Figure 5. It shows the equivalent circuit by adding a parallel stub circuit to model the aperture on the front of the enclosure. Z_{05} is the characteristic impedance modelling the aperture, which can be determined with (1) [11].

\[ Z_{05} = 120 \pi^2 \left[ \ln \left( 2 + \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{w_e}{b} \right)^2} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{w_e}{b} \right)^2}} - 1 \right) \right]^{-1} \] (1)

where \( w_e \) is the effective width of the aperture is defined in (2), \( t \) is the thickness of the metallic sheet and \( w \) is the width of the aperture. For circular aperture, the
The value of the impedance of the apertures is transformed from the short circuit with the distance from the aperture reaches the distance of \( l/2 \) where the value of \( l \) is the length of the aperture, so that the formula will be (4) \[11\]. \( C_m \) is calculated using (5) \[12\]. If there are several apertures of the same dimension \((n)\), then to find the impedance value, (6) can be used \[11\].

\[
Z_{ap} = \frac{1}{2} C_m Z_{0s} \left( Z_1 + j Z_{os} \tan \left( \frac{k_{ol}}{2} \right) \right) Z_{os} + j Z_0 \tan \left( \frac{k_{ol}}{2} \right)
\]

\[
C_m = \frac{k_{0}}{2} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{-b}^{b} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi m x}{2a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi n y}{2b} \right)}{\pi m n x y} \cos \left( \frac{\pi m x}{2a} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi n y}{2b} \right) dx dy
\]

\[
Z_{ap} = n Z_{ap}
\]

For a perfect conducting sheet the value of \( Z_0 \) becomes 0 ohms, where as for other materials the value of \( Z_0 \) can be calculated by (7). The values of \( \mu_1 \) and \( \sigma_1 \) represent the magnetic permeability and conductivity of enclosure material respectively \[12\].

\[
Z_1 = (1 + j) \frac{\pi f \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\n\]

By using the Thevenin theory combined with \( Z_0 \), \( Z_{ap} \), and \( Z_{ap} \), the value \( v_1 \) is determined by (8) \[10\], and the value of the source impedance expressed as (9) - (11) \[11\].

\[
v_1 = \frac{V_0 Z_{ap}}{Z_0 + Z_{ap}}
\]

\[
V_2 = \frac{V_1}{\cos(k_{mg} p) + j \left( \frac{k_{mg}}{Z_{mg}} \right) \sin(K_{mg} p)}
\]

\[
Z_2 = \frac{Z_m g Z_1 + j Z_{mg} \tan(K_{mg} p)}{Z_m g + j Z_1 \tan(K_{mg} p)}
\]

The impedance characteristics of the waveguide in TE\(_{mn}\) mode and the constant propagation are represented as follows (12) - (13) \[11\].

\[
Z_{mg} = \frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{m \lambda}{2a} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{n \lambda}{2b} \right)^2}}
\]

\[
K_{mg} = \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{m \lambda}{2a} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{n \lambda}{2b} \right)^2}}
\]

where the value of \( k_0 \) is obtained by \( 2\pi / \lambda \).

The transformation of the equivalent impedance from the enclosure surface to \( P \), gives the value of \( Z_3 \), obtained from \[11\], so that the voltage at \( P \) becomes (15). The value of SE can be calculated by (16) with \( V_p = V_{p2} \) and \( V_{pum} = V_{pum} \).

\[
Z_3 = Z_{mg} \frac{Z_1 + j Z_{mg} \left[ k_{mg} (d - p) \right]}{Z_{mg} + j Z_1 \left[ k_{mg} (d - p) \right]}
\]

\[
V_{pm} = \frac{V_2 Z_3}{Z_2 + Z_3}
\]

\[
SE = \left[ 20 \log \left| \frac{V_{ptotal}}{V_p} \right| \right]
\]

**D. Stainless Steel 304 Properties**

Stainless steel is a great material for use in a variety of applications, as it is resistant to corrosion and is easily formed. Stainless Steel type 304 is a variation of 18-8 grade base material, with less chromium and carbon values. The physical properties of the Stainless Steel 304 material is given in Table 1.
The pattern on the apertures varied in size and shape, the sizes used in the study were 12 mm and 24 mm. The aperture patterns used in this study are shown in Figure 7. The data is captured for 10 seconds, then the experiment is done 5 times. The result of capturing for 10 seconds is seen every second and inserted into the table. Later, the data is averaged and the average results are used as data to compare between calculation and measurement.

IV. RESULT

A. Calculation Result

Using the equations given in section IIC and the following geometrical and material parameters, the shielding effectiveness of stainless steel sheet are calculated below.

- d = according to the diameter of the aperture being tried: 12 mm & 24 mm
- t = according to the tested thickness of the sample: 0.7 mm & 1.4 mm
- a = 0.3 m, b = 0.2 m, t = 0.007 m
- p = 0.4 m, d = 0.3 m
- The waveguide mode m = 1, n = 1
- x = 0.15 m and y = 0.1 m
- The free space impedance Z₀ = 377 Ω
- V₀ = 0.00707 volts
- The frequency f = 2.4 x 10⁹ Hz, and λ = 0.125 m
- mag. Permeability (µ₁) = 1.02 H / m
- Conductivity (σ₁) = 1.45 x10⁶ S / m

Table 2 and 3 show the calculated SE for 0.7 mm and 1.4 mm sheet thickness respectively. As we can see in table 2, due to increasing of the apertures diameter, the SE decreases significantly, the waves penetrate more. As example, for t=24 mm and 2 apertures, we get SE around 3 dB, which means, around a half of the waves reach the receiver. The values of calculated SE for the thickness t = 1.4 mm (table 3) are almost the same, as can be seen for t = 0.7 mm. It seems that the thickness of the sheet does not play a significant role.
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### Table 2
**Calculation of SE with Sample Thickness T = 0.7 mm and Aperture Diameter D of 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.27</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
**Calculation of SE with Sample Thickness T = 1.4 mm and Aperture Diameter 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.28</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
**Measurement of SE with Sample Thickness T = 0.7 mm and Aperture Diameter D of 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.68</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5
**Measurement of SE with Sample Thickness T = 1.4 mm and Aperture Diameter D of 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.14</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
**Measurement of SE with Sample Thickness T = 0.7 mm and Aperture Diameter D of 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.68</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5
**Measurement of SE with Sample Thickness T = 1.4 mm and Aperture Diameter D of 12 mm and 24 mm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=12 mm</th>
<th>SE (dB), d=24 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.14</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Measurement Results

Table 4 and 5 give the measurement data for sheet thickness 0.7 mm and 1.4 mm respectively. As we can see in Table 2, due to increasing the diameter of the apertures, the SE decreases significantly, the waves penetrate more. The measurement confirms the theoretical results, although here we have slightly bigger SE value than the calculated ones. However, for a sheet thickness of 1.4 mm, the measurement shows rather different results than calculation, as given in table 5. It seems although the aperture dimension gets bigger, the thickness of the sheet plays a significant role to attenuate the wave during penetration through the apertures.

### C. Comparison Calculation and Measurement Data

Figures 8 to 11 showed the graphical comparison between calculation and measurement given in previous section. In general, the measurement confirmed the calculation very well. However for the case of sheet thickness 1.5 mm and aperture diameter 24 mm, the attenuation of wave through aperture play a significant role to reduce the wave due to the evanescent mode of the wave [16]. Probably, the analytical expression given in [11] must be improved with this wave phenomenon.
The calculation and measurement of shielding effectiveness (SE) confirmed that more apertures on a shielding sheet will reduce the SE. In the case of 0.7 mm sheet thickness and 12 mm diameter, SE reduces from 46.28 dB for 1 aperture to 14.24 dB for 6 apertures. Bigger aperture diameters will also degrade the SE as for 24 mm diameter aperture, it degrades from 46.28 dB to 5.27 dB. The same condition can be concluded for the thickness 1.4 mm for aperture diameter of 12 mm. However, a slightly different measurement results are obtained for the thickness 1.4 mm and aperture diameter of 24 mm. The thickness plays a significant role to attenuate the wave, so that SE is bigger than the calculated one.

**CONCLUSION**

Figure 11. Comparison of calculated and measured SE with 24 mm aperture diameter and 1.4 mm thickness
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